Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,900	LANIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arlen Soderquist	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>A</u>	F
(1) Arlen Soderquist.	(3)	
(2) <u>David E. Baker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8,16		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed and amendment that would bring the application into condition for allowance. Applicant authorized an additional month extension of time charged to deposit account 50-0206 (\$ 330.00) and an examiner's amendment to make the change.